IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3172 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 35028 OF 2003

Union of India & Anr ...Applicants

Versus

Shri. Anil Goel ...Respondent

ALONGWITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3173 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 35033 OF 2003

Union of India & Anr ...Applicants

Versus

Shri. Rajeev Dhar ...Respondent

ALONGWITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3174 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO. 35037 OF 2003

Union of India & Anr ...Applicants

Versus

Shri. Madhav Shenbaugh ...Respondent

.....

Shri. Y.R. Mishra with T.C. Kaushik, counsel for the Applicant

.....

CORAM: DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN AND
SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, JJ.

DATED: 5TH DECEMBER, 2005

P.C.:-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the Applicants. None appears for the Respondent though served.

2. For the reasons stated in the Civil Applications, sufficient cause is made out. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide. Hence, Civil Applications are made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). Place Appeals for admission on 19.12.2005.

*****